

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KATALIN HORVATH,)	1:05-cv-00041-REC-TAG-HC
)	
Petitioner,)	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
)	RECOMMENDATION (Doc. 67)
v.)	
)	ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT
)	OF HABEAS CORPUS (Doc. 1)
INS,)	
)	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT
)	TO ENTER JUDGMENT
Respondent.)	
)	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
)	EXTENSION OF TIME AS MOOT (Doc. 66)
)	
)	ORDER DENYING MISCELLANEOUS
)	PENDING MOTIONS AS MOOT (Docs. 17, 21,
)	27, 35, 48, 54, & 59)

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, on January 10, 2005. (Doc. 1).

On January 11, 2006, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report and Recommendation recommending the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED for failure to state a claim and as moot because Petitioner is no longer in custody of Respondent.

(Doc. 67). This Report and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty days from the date of service of that order. To date, the parties have not filed timely objections to the Report and Recommendation.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Report and Recommendation, filed January 11, 2006 (Doc. 67), is ADOPTED IN FULL;
2. This petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1), is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim as it is now MOOT;
3. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (Doc. 66), is DENIED as MOOT;
4. All pending motions filed by Petitioner (Docs. 17, 21, 27, 35, 48, 54, & 59), are DENIED as MOOT; and,
5. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 3, 2006
668554

/s/ Robert E. Coyle
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE